

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM



Implications of microbial adaptation for the persistency assessment of organic chemicals

Baptiste A.J. Poursat



Baptiste.Poursat@wur.nl 21-01-2021

CEFIC-LRI ECO 29

Application of chemostat systems to include adaptation of microbial communities in persistency testing (CHEMADAPT)

2015-2019



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM







Dr. John Parsons Prof. Pim de Voogt Joost Dalmijn Rick Helmus Dr. Wilfred Röling Dr. Rob van Spanning Martin Braster Research liaison team: Graham Whale (Shell) Delina Lyon (Shell) David Saunders (Shell) Kees van Ginkel (Nouryon) Kathleen McDonough (P&G) Jason Snape (AstraZeneca) Bruno Hubesch (CEFIC-LRI) Lucy Wilmot (ECETOC)

Ready biodegradability tests (RBT)



OECD RBTs results can be highly variable



Boxplots indicating the range of lag phase durations for triplicate biodegradation tests, in erBSTs (erBST) and OECD RBTs (RBT) for a group of chemicals with varying environmental persistence. From Martin et al (2017); Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51, 5, 3065-3073

OECD RBTs results can be highly variable



Boxplots indicating the range of lag phase durations for triplicate biodegradation tests, in erBSTs (erBST) and OECD RBTs (RBT) for a group of chemicals with varying environmental persistence. From Martin et al (2017); Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51, 5, 3065-3073

OECD RBTs results can be highly variable

Some of the proposed



Graphical abstract from Ott et al (2019): Improving the biodegradability in seawater test (OECD 306); Science of the total env. doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.167

Microbial adaptation can influence the test results



Biodegradation of L-GLDA in RBT shifts with exposure time

Biodegradation by activated sludge as a function of time following initial shipment of product with L-GLDA. Itrich et al. (2015) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 13314-13321.

Natural microbial exposure to organic pollutants may increase the test results variability

How can we include microbial adaptation in RBTs to reduce variability?

Objectives:

- 1. Review the literature on microbial adaptation and its implications for RBTs
- 2. Investigate the effect of long-term exposure to an organic pollutant on:
 - The inoculum
 - Ready biodegradability tests results
- 3. Propose a modified ready biodegradability test to include adaptation

Exposure to the test chemical in <u>batch</u> (days) and <u>chemostat systems</u> (months)

Does long term exposure in chemostat lead to adaptation and reduce RBTs variability?



By CGraham2332 - Own work, CC BY-SA 4.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=53801095



Experimental protocol

Inoculum: Activated sludge

RBT: OECD 310

Primary biodegradation + transformation products: LC-MS/MS (Qtrap) Community profiling by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing







Metformin and guanylurea can be degraded in chemostat



CO, + biomass + NH,



Guanylurea can be degraded while it was expected to be persistent

A metformin degrader (aminobacter sp.) was isolated from the chemostat community

Short pre-exposure in batch can reduce test variability





Inocula were different based on their location of sampling





Increased variability in lower volume test

Increasing the test volume increased the absolute number of degrading microorganisms





Long term exposure in chemostat can induce variability in the RBT results



Long term exposure in chemostat can induce variability in the RBT



Chemostat culture changed the communities composition and activity

No correlation between the abundance of *aminobacter* sp. and the enriched bacteria (differential abundance analysis) with the biodegradation results

Conclusion

Results of biodegradability tests may depend on:

- Source and exposure history of the inoculum
- Volume of the test

Long-term exposure in chemostat cultures may

- Enhance removal of some chemicals
- Lead to more variation in tests results

16s rRNA gene amplicon sequencing does not allow to identify adaptation mechanisms

- Further research must be conducted before to include adaptation in RBTs
 - We do not recommend to use chemostat systems to expose the inoculum before RBTs

Thank you

Acknowledgements

John Parsons, Joost Dalmijn, Boris Jansen, Pim de Voogt, Rick Helmus (UvA) Wilfred Röling, Rob van Spanning, Martin Braster (VU University Amsterdam) Technicians and students UvA and VU Project funding by the CEFIC-LRI (ECO29-UvA) Research liaison team: Graham Whale Kees van Ginkel Kathleen McDonough Delina Lyon

David Saunders

Jason Snape

Bruno Hubesch

Lucy Wilmot

Baptiste.Poursat@wur.nl Poursat.baptiste@gmail.com